UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,361	08/21/2003	Xian-Ming Zeng	TEVE-121US	8629
23122 7590 09/09/2011 RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980			EXAMINER	
			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under **Application Number** Reexamination ZENG, XIAN-MING 10/646,361 Art Unit JOHANN RICHTER 1616 **Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC**

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

s is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	ew filed <u>8/11/11</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other:	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfeheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichevappeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period wer is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the cla Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been a Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. ■ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by approximately approxim	
All participants:	
1) <u>/JOHANN RICHTER/</u> .	(3) <u>Kay Kim</u> .
2) <u>James Alstrum-Acevedo</u> .	(4)